



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,001	11/17/2003	Medford Alan Dyer	2023796-7036862001	2259

7590 12/13/2006

David G. Beck
Bingham McCutchen, LLP
18th Floor
Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111

EXAMINER

SMITH, SHEILA B

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2617

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/715,001	DYER, MEDFORD ALAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sheila B. Smith	2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 September 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Frank et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,991,646).

Regarding claim 1, Frank et al. discloses essentially all the claimed invention as set forth in the instant application, further Frank et al. discloses articulating speaker and microphone for a wireless telephone with cigarette lighter adapter. In addition Frank et al. discloses as best understood by the examiner in view of the 112 rejection a housing (108), a speaker (100) coupled to said housing (108); a microphone boom (102) pivotably coupled to said housing (108), said microphone (101) a speakerphone comprising: boom (102) having at least a first position and a second position (which reads on column 4 lines 23-25), and a microphone (101) mounted to said microphone boom (102) wherein a region of said microphone having a lowest sensitivity is aimed at the speaker when said microphone boom (102) is located in either said first position or said second position (which reads on the microphone as exhibited in figure 5 having the lowest sensitivity is aimed at the speaker).

Regarding claim 2, Frank et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 1) additionally, Frank et al. discloses a speaker (100) is located along said axis extending from

Art Unit: 2617

said region of said microphone regardless of a position associated with said microphone boom (102) (as exhibited in figure 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 3-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frank et al. in view of Griffin et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2004/0063456).

Regarding claim 3, Frank et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 1) additionally, Frank et al. discloses a housing (108), a speaker (100) mounted to said housing, a unidirectional microphone (which reads on column 1 lines 32-33); A speakerphone comprising a microphone boom (102) pivotably coupled to said housing (108), said microphone boom (102) capable of being placed at a plurality of positions (see figure 6), said unidirectional microphone (101) mounted at a distal end of said microphone boom (102), wherein a region of said microphone having a lowest sensitivity is aimed at the speaker is located in any of said plurality of positions (which reads on the microphone as exhibited in figure 5 having the lowest sensitivity is aimed at the speaker), and wherein said second signals are output by said speaker after receipt by said wireless networking module (which reads on column 1 lines 30-42).

However Frank et al. fail to specifically disclose a wireless networking module adapted to

Art Unit: 2617

transmit first signals via a short distance wireless network to a peripheral electronic device and to receive second signals via said short distance wireless network from said peripheral electronic device, wherein said first signals are initially received by said unidirectional microphone.

In the same field of endeavor, Griffin et al. discloses a communication device with multiple detachable communication modules. Griffin et al. discloses a wireless networking module (162) adapted to transmit first signals via a short distance wireless network to a peripheral electronic device (102, 112) and to receive second signals via said short distance wireless network from said peripheral electronic device (102, 112), wherein said first signals are initially received by said unidirectional microphone (which reads on paragraph 0056).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Frank et al. by modifying articulating speaker and microphone for a wireless telephone with cigarette lighter adapter with a wireless networking module adapted to transmit first signals via a short distance wireless network to a peripheral electronic device and to receive second signals via said short distance wireless network from said peripheral electronic device, wherein said first signals are initially received by said unidirectional microphone for the purpose of enabling hands free operation.

Regarding claim 4, Frank et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 2) however Frank et al. fails to disclose peripheral electronic device forwards said first signals via a long distance communication network and wherein said second signals are transmitted to said peripheral electronic device via said long distance communication network.

Art Unit: 2617

In the same field of endeavor, Griffin et al. discloses a communication device with multiple detachable communication modules. Griffin et al. Discloses peripheral electronic device forwards said first signals via a long distance communication network and wherein said second signals are transmitted to said peripheral electronic device via said long distance communication network (which reads on paragraph 0056).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Frank et al. by modifying articulating speaker and microphone for a wireless telephone with peripheral electronic device forwards said first signals via a long distance communication network and wherein said second signals are transmitted to said peripheral electronic device via said long distance communication network for the purpose of enabling hands free operation

Regarding claim 5, Frank et al. in view of Griffin et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 2) additionally, Frank et al. discloses a said long distance communication network is a cellular telephone network (which reads on column 3 lines 18-20).

Regarding claim 6, Frank et al. in view of Griffin et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 2) additionally, Frank et al. discloses peripheral electronic device is a cellular telephone (which reads on column 3 lines 18-20).

Regarding claims 7-10 Frank et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 2) however Frank et al. fails to disclose wireless networking module is a Bluetooth enabled networking module and said peripheral electronic device is a Bluetooth enabled cellular telephone.

Art Unit: 2617

In the same field of endeavor, Griffin et al. discloses a communication device with multiple detachable communication modules. Griffin et al. discloses wireless networking module is a Bluetooth (which reads on short-range wireless network or link) enabled networking module and said peripheral electronic device is a Bluetooth enabled cellular telephone (which reads on paragraph 0056).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Frank et al. by modifying articulating speaker and microphone for a wireless telephone with wireless networking module is a Bluetooth enabled networking module and said peripheral electronic device is a Bluetooth enabled cellular telephone for the purpose of enabling hands free operation.

Regarding claims 11-15 Frank et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 2) however Frank et al. fails to disclose display means is capable of displaying at least one of battery level, signal level, volume level, call status, speakerphone status, pairing status, caller identification, time, elapsed time, date, phone history, phone lists, and calendar.

In the same field of endeavor, Griffin et al. discloses a communication device with multiple detachable communication modules. Griffin et al. discloses a displaying at least one of battery level, signal level, volume level, call status, speakerphone status, pairing status, caller identification, time, elapsed time, date, phone history, phone lists, and calendar (which reads on paragraph 0054).

Art Unit: 2617

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Frank et al. by modifying articulating speaker and microphone for a wireless telephone with display means is capable of displaying at least one of battery level, signal level, volume level, call status, speakerphone status, pairing status, caller identification, time, elapsed time, date, phone history, phone lists, and calendar for the purpose of enabling hands free operation.

Regarding claim 16 Frank et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 2) however Frank et al. fails to disclose a sound processor

In the same field of endeavor, Griffin et al. discloses a communication device with multiple detachable communication modules. Griffin et al. discloses a sound processor (which reads on paragraph 0054).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Frank et al. by modifying articulating speaker and microphone for a wireless telephone with a sound processor for the purpose of enabling hands free operation.

Regarding claims 17-21, Frank et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 2) however Frank et al. fails to disclose a portable power source.

In the same field of endeavors, Griffin et al. discloses a communication device with multiple detachable communication modules. Griffin et al. discloses a portable power source (which reads on paragraph 0054).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Frank et al. by modifying articulating speaker and microphone for a wireless telephone with a portable power source for the purpose of enabling hands free operation.

Regarding claims 22 -28, Frank et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 1) additionally, Frank et al. discloses a housing (108), a speaker (100) mounted to said housing, a unidirectional microphone (which reads on column 1 lines 32-33); A speakerphone comprising a microphone boom (102) pivotably coupled to said housing (108), said microphone boom (102) capable of being placed at a plurality of positions (see figure 6), said unidirectional microphone (101) mounted at a distal end of said microphone boom (102), wherein a region of said microphone having a lowest sensitivity is aimed at the speaker is located in any of said plurality of positions (which reads on the microphone as exhibited in figure 5 having the lowest sensitivity is aimed at the speaker), and wherein said second signals are output by said speaker after receipt by said wireless networking module (which reads on column 1 lines 30-42). However Frank et al. fail to specifically disclose and a Bluetooth enabled network module adapted to transmit first signals to a Bluetooth enabled cellular telephone and to receive second signals from said Bluetooth enabled cellular telephone.

In the same field of endeavor, Griffin et al. discloses a communication device with multiple detachable communication modules. Griffin et al. discloses a Bluetooth enabled network module adapted to transmit first signals to a Bluetooth enabled cellular telephone and to receive second signals from said Bluetooth enabled cellular telephone (which reads on paragraph 0056).

Art Unit: 2617

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Frank et al. by modifying articulating speaker and microphone for a wireless telephone with a Bluetooth enabled network module adapted to transmit first signals to a Bluetooth enabled cellular telephone and to receive second signals from said Bluetooth enabled cellular telephone for the purpose of enabling hands free operation.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheila B. Smith whose telephone number is (571)272-7847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SSmith 
December 11, 2006


JOSEPH FEILD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER